

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/608,746	Applicant(s) PANJWANI, DILEEP KUMAR	
	Examiner MINH DINH	Art Unit 2432	

All Participants:

(1) MINH DINH.

(2) LEONARD HEYMAN.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
30

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: response to non-final action entered and forwarded to examiner

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00 pm

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Minh Dinh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed amending claim 30 to include the step of "using, by the security apparatus, new information on vulnerabilities and exposures to handle new application sessions for the application and continuing to use old information on vulnerabilities and exposures to handle existing application sessions for the application" and to remove the last two steps from claim 30 and make the removed steps part of new dependent claim 45. Mr. Heyman agreed to the proposed amendments.